

1

2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

3 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

4 WESLIE MARTIN,

Case No. 3:22-cv-00101-LRH-CLB

5 Petitioner,

ORDER

6 v.

7 WILLIAM REUBART, et al.,

8 Respondents.

9 Respondents have filed a motion for extension of time (second request) of forty-three days
 10 to file and serve their response to Petitioner Weslie Martin's petition for writ of habeas corpus.
 11 (ECF No. 10.) Respondents' request is based on needing additional time to prepare a complete
 12 index of the state court record because the Attorney General's support staff are currently
 13 understaffed. (*Id.*) Martin opposes the request, explaining, in part, that "every attorney [he has]
 14 ever worked with was able to pull [his] case instantly online without any trouble." (ECF No. 11.)
 15 Respondents replied, explaining that "[u]ntil support staff compile the state-court record relevant
 16 to this case, counsel cannot prepare the response." (ECF No. 12.) The Court finds good cause to
 17 grant Respondents' motion for extension of time, but it warns that future requests for extension of
 18 this deadline will be viewed unfavorably unless extraordinary circumstances are presented.

19 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Respondents' motion for extension of time (second
 20 request) (ECF No. 10) is **granted**. Respondents will have up to and including September 23, 2022,
 21 to file a response to the petition.

22 DATED THIS 25th day of August 2022.

23 
 LARRY R. HICKS
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE